

Zero-Based Regulation Prospective Analysis

- **Fill out entire form to the best of your ability, unless submitting a Notice to Negotiate only fill out 1, 2, 5, and 7. The rest of the form must be completed prior to the adoption of the proposed rule.**

Agency Name: **Idaho Division of Occupational and Professional Licenses**

Rule Docket Number: **24-2201-2501**

1. What is the specific Idaho statutory legal authority for this proposed rule?

Statute Section (include direct link)	Is the authority mandatory or discretionary?

2. Define the specific problem that the proposed rule is attempting to solve? Can the problem be addressed by non-regulatory measures?

The Idaho State Liquefied Petroleum Gas Safety Board is financially in the negative and requires a licensing fee increase to become solvent.

This cannot be addressed without regulations change, as all administrative rules and statutes must be approved by the Idaho State legislature, and Idaho Code section 67-9402(2) states that all fees for licensing boards must be in rule or code by July 1st, 2026.

3. How have other jurisdictions approached the problem this proposed rule intends to address?

a. Is this proposed rule related to any existing federal law?

Federal citation	Summary of Law (include direct link)	How is the proposed Idaho rule more stringent? (if applicable)

b. How does this proposed rule compare to other state laws?

State	Summary of Law (include direct link)	How is the proposed Idaho rule more stringent? (if applicable)
Washington		
Click Link Oregon		
Click Link Nevada		
Click Link Utah		
Wyoming		
Click Link Montana		
Alaska		
Click Link South Dakota		

c. If the Idaho proposed rule has a more stringent requirement than the federal government or the reviewed states, describe the evidence base or unique circumstances that justifies the enhanced requirement:

N/A

4. What evidence is there that the rule, as proposed, will solve the problem?

Cash balance forecasts show that the decrease in licensing fees for Bulk Facility Dealers, combined with a relatively modest increase in the remaining licensing fees, should allow the board to regain a healthy cash balance.

5. What is the anticipated impact of the proposed rule on various stakeholders? Include how you will involve stakeholders in the negotiated rulemaking process?

Category	Potential Impact
Fiscal impact to the state General Fund, any dedicated fund, or federal fund	Increased license fees will improve the board's cash balance, and help to offset the decreasing Bulk Facility Dealer license fees.
Impact to Idaho businesses, with special consideration for small businesses	Beneficial. Businesses will be required to pay less money to the state to engage in business as licensed Bulk Facility Dealers. Minimal increases for other license types.
Impact to any local government in Idaho	No clear impact.

6. What cumulative regulatory volume does this proposed rule add?

Category	Impact
Net change in word count	
Net change in restrictive word count	

7. Should this rule chapter remain as a rule chapter or be moved to statute as suggested in Section 67-5292, Idaho Code?

Category	Impact
What is the cost of publishing this rule chapter annually? (Multiply the number of pages x \$56)	
How frequently has this rule chapter been substantively updated over the past 5 years? (Exclude republishing triggered solely by recent sunset dates)	
What is the benefit of having all related requirements in a single location in Idaho Code?	