

**Zero-Based Regulation  
Prospective Analysis**

- **Fill out entire form to the best of your ability, unless submitting a Notice to Negotiate only fill out 1, 2, 5, and 7. The rest of the form must be completed prior to the adoption of the proposed rule.**

**Agency Name:** Idaho Division of Occupational and Professional Licenses

**Rule Docket Number:** 24-3930-2052

**1. What is the specific Idaho statutory legal authority for this proposed rule?**

Statute Section (include direct link)	Is the authority mandatory or discretionary?

**2. Define the specific problem that the proposed rule is attempting to solve? Can the problem be addressed by non-regulatory measures?**

**24.39.30 – RULES OF BUILDING SAFETY (BUILDING CODE RULES)**

Currently Idaho, through the Idaho Building Code Board, has adopted the 2018 editions of the International Building Code (IBC) and International Residential Code (IRC). This is outdated, and the materials that are provided by the governing authorities to enforce the 2018 codes was being discontinued due to their age. This rule would adopt the 2024 IBC and IRC, and thereby allow local municipalities and the division to effectively enforce building code and preserve the safety of Idaho residents. This must be adopted in rules or statute, so there is no non-regulatory way to address this issue.

**3. How have other jurisdictions approached the problem this proposed rule intends to address?**

**a. Is this proposed rule related to any existing federal law?**

<b>Federal citation</b>	<b>Summary of Law (include direct link)</b>	<b>How is the proposed Idaho rule more stringent? (if applicable)</b>

**b. How does this proposed rule compare to other state laws?**

<b>State</b>	<b>Summary of Law (include direct link)</b>	<b>How is the proposed Idaho rule more stringent? (if applicable)</b>
Washington		
Oregon		
Nevada		
Utah		
Wyoming		
Montana		
Alaska		
South Dakota		

**c. If the Idaho proposed rule has a more stringent requirement than the federal government or the reviewed states, describe the evidence base or unique circumstances that justifies the enhanced requirement:**

N/A: The 2024 IBC is not more stringent than the 2021. It is more recent and reflects changes in the construction industry .

**4. What evidence is there that the rule, as proposed, will solve the problem?**

The current problem lies in the outdated building code and challenges with its enforcement. The proposed rule change aims to address these concerns by adopting a more recent version of the building code into rule.

**5. What is the anticipated impact of the proposed rule on various stakeholders? Include how you will involve stakeholders in the negotiated rulemaking process?**

Category	Potential Impact
Fiscal impact to the state General Fund, any dedicated fund, or federal fund	
Impact to Idaho businesses, with special consideration for small businesses	
Impact to any local government in Idaho	

**6. What cumulative regulatory volume does this proposed rule add?**

Category	Impact
Net change in word count	
Net change in restrictive word count	

**7. Should this rule chapter remain as a rule chapter or be moved to statute as suggested in Section 67-5292, Idaho Code?**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Impact</b>
What is the cost of publishing this rule chapter annually? (Multiply the number of pages x \$56)	
How frequently has this rule chapter been substantively updated over the past 5 years? (Exclude republishing triggered solely by recent sunset dates)	
What is the benefit of having all related requirements in a single location in Idaho Code?	