## **Zero-Based Regulation Prospective Analysis**

**Agency Name:** 

• Fill out entire form to the best of your ability, unless submitting a Notice to Negotiate only fill out 1, 2, 5, and 7. The rest of the form must be completed prior to the adoption of the proposed rule.

**Idaho Division of Occupational and Professional Licenses** 

| Rule Docket Number: 24-2301-2501   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| What is the specific Idaha statutory logo                                      | d authority for this proposed rule?            |  |
| . What is the specific Idaho statutory legal authority for this proposed rule? |  |  |
| Statute Section (include direct link)  | Is the authority mandatory or discretionary?   |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| . Define the specific problem that the pro                                     | posed rule is attempting to solve? Can the     |  |
| problem be addressed by non-regulator  | y measures?                                    |  |
|  |  |  |
| 24.23.01 – RULES OF THE SPEECH, HEARING, AND COMMUNICATION SERVICES            |  |  |
| LICENSURE BOARD  | ,  |  |
|  |  |  |
| The Idaho Legislature passed HB311, which                                      | ch creates a new license type for video remote |  |
| interpreting providers and requires the boar                                   | rd to establish a fee.                         |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

| Federal      |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|
| citation     | Summary of Law (include direct link)   | How is the proposed Idaho rule more stringent? (if applicable) |
|              |  |  |
|              |  |  |
|              |  |  |
| b. How       | does this proposed rule compare to other st  | tate laws?   |
| State        | Summary of Law (include direct link)   | How is the proposed Idaho rule more stringent? (if applicable) |
| Washington   |  |  |
| Oregon       |  |  |
| Nevada       |  |  |
| Utah         |  |  |
| Wyoming      |  |  |
| Montana      |  |  |
| Alaska       |  |  |
| South Dakota |  |  |
| gove         | e Idaho proposed rule has a more stringent ernment or the reviewed states, describe the umstances that justifies the enhanced requir | evidence base or unique  |
|              |  |  |
|              |  |  |
|              |  |  |
|              |  |  |
|              |  |  |

| This rule will allow us to license video remote possible without the license type and fee.  | e interpreting providers, which would not be                                  |
|---|---|
|   |   |
|   |   |
| S. What is the anticipated impact of the pro-<br>how you will involve stakeholders in the r   | posed rule on various stakeholders? Include<br>negotiated rulemaking process? |
| Category  | Potential Impact  |
| Fiscal impact to the state General Fund, any  |   |
| dedicated fund, or federal fund   |   |
| Impact to Idaho businesses, with special  |   |
| consideration for small businesses  |   |
| Impact to Idaho businesses, with special consideration for small businesses  Impact to any local government in Idaho  | this proposed rule add?   |
| Impact to Idaho businesses, with special consideration for small businesses  Impact to any local government in Idaho  Mat cumulative regulatory volume does  Category | this proposed rule add?  Impact   |
| Impact to Idaho businesses, with special consideration for small businesses  Impact to any local government in Idaho  What cumulative regulatory volume does          |   |

## 7. Should this rule chapter remain as a rule chapter or be moved to statute as suggested in Section 67-5292, Idaho Code?

| Category                       | Impact |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| What is the cost of publishing |        |
| this rule chapter annually?    |        |
| (Multiply the number of pages  |        |
| x \$56)                        |        |
| How frequently has this rule   |        |
| chapter been substantively     |        |
| updated over the past 5 years? |        |
| (Exclude republishing          |        |
| triggered solely by recent     |        |
| sunset dates)                  |        |
| What is the benefit of having  |        |
| all related requirements in a  |        |
| single location in Idaho Code? |        |